Collagen-induced arthritis as an animal model of rheumatoid cachexia
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Abstract

Background  Rheumatoid arthritis is characterized by chronic polyarticular synovitis and presents systemic changes that impact quality of life, such as impaired muscle function, seen in up to 66% of the patients. This can progress to severely debilitating state known as rheumatoid cachexia—without loss of fat mass and body weight—for which there is little consensus in terms of diagnosis or treatment. This study aims to evaluate whether the collagen-induced arthritis (CIA) animal model also develops clinical and functional features characteristic of rheumatoid cachexia.

Methods  Male DBA1/J mice were randomly divided into 2 groups: healthy animals (CO, n = 11) and CIA animals (n = 13). The clinical score and edema size, animal weight and food intake, free exploratory locomotion, grip strength, and endurance exercise performance were tested 0, 18, 35, 45, 55, and 65 days after disease induction. After euthanasia, several organs, visceral and brown fat, and muscles were dissected and weighed. Muscles were used to assess myofiber diameter. Ankle joint was used to assess arthritis severity by histological score. Statistical analysis were performed using one-way and two-way analyses of variance followed by Tukey’s and Bonferroni’s test or t-test of Pearson and statistical difference were assumed for a P value under 0.05.

Results  The CIA had significantly higher arthritis scores and larger hind paw edema volumes than CO. The CIA had decreased endurance exercise performance total time (fatigue; 23, 22, 24, and 21% at 35, 45, 55, and 65 days, respectively), grip strength (27, 55, 63, 60, and 66% at 25, 35, 45, 55, and 65 days, respectively), free locomotion (43, 57, 59, and 66% at 35, 45, 55, and 65 days, respectively), and tibialis anterior and gastrocnemius muscle weight (25 and 24%, respectively) compared with CO. Sarcoplasmic ratios were also reduced in CIA (TA: 23 and GA: 22% less sarcoplasmic ratio), confirming the atrophy of skeletal muscle mass in these animals than in CO. Myofiber diameter was also reduced 45% in TA and 41% in GA in CIA when compared with the CO. Visceral and brown fat were lighter in CIA (54 and 39%, respectively) than CO group.

Conclusions  The CIA model is a valid experimental model for rheumatoid cachexia given that the clinical changes observed were similar to those described in patients with rheumatoid arthritis.
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recently reported that low muscle mass is present in 20% of initial RA patients. In the established disease stage, 38% of RA patients presented with low muscle mass, although previous studies described even higher rates of up to 66%.6,7

Classical cachexia (from the Greek ‘kacos’, bad, and ‘hexis’, having) has been defined as a complex metabolic shift towards a disease state characterized by rapid loss of body cell mass, led mainly by muscle wasting.8,9 It represents a state of anabolic resistance in which the muscles fail to synthesize sufficient protein and, given its significant clinical impact, is under increased scrutiny.7,10 It has been reported that pro-inflammatory cytokines could trigger many of cachexia effects, spatially TNFα, in this case also called cachectin because of its effects.11 In rheumatoid cachexia, it is observed relative excess of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including TNFα, IL-1β, IL-6, and IFN-γ.12 Pro-inflammatory cytokines, the key development of synovitis and extra-articular manifestations, carry to an increase in muscle proteolysis via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway13 and induce anorexia, especially by the IL-1β cytokine.14 A widely used set of criteria to diagnose cachexia syndrome was recently formulated by Evans et al.8 The criteria were based on a body weight loss of 5% or more within 12 months [or a body mass index (BMI) < 20 kg·m−2] and at least three of the following factors: decreased muscle strength, fatigue, anorexia, low fat mass index, and abnormal biochemical markers [increased inflammatory markers (CRP, IL-6), anaemia (Hb < 12 g·dL−1), low serum albumin (< 3.2 g·dL−1)]. However, no consensus has been reached to diagnose cachexia, and studies use varying weight loss cut off points or symptoms.15

Although muscle wasting in RA has shared features with the classical form of cachexia, these processes appear to be different, since low BMI is unusual in RA as the fat mass is maintained or increased,16 and decreased BMI was stated to be the first step for classical cachexia diagnosis. Thus, Roubenoff et al.7 have firstly suggested the term rheumatoid cachexia for this state of muscle wasting, then later included the combination with maintenance, or increase, in total fat mass.5,17,18 Rheumatoid cachexia has been associated with decreased physical activity, and increased fatigue and weakness, leading to a significant loss of functional capacity and quality of life with an elevated socioeconomic impact.16,19,20 Also, rheumatoid cachexia shares similar abnormalities serological biochemistry with classical form of cachexia [increased inflammatory markers (CRP, TNFα, IL-6), anaemia (Hb < 12 g·dL−1), low serum albumin (< 3.2 g·dL−1)].

Animal models of disease can be useful in identifying biological changes associated with human disease because of the ability to control for confounding factors, such as genetic background, food intake, and environmental influences. The collagen-induced arthritis (CIA) animal model has many of the characteristics of RA, including clinical manifestations and histological and immunological features.21 Previous study of our group described the temporal development of muscle wasting in this animal model, but there are no data concerning other aspects of the cachexia observed in RA patients.22 However, it would be worth as an animal model to confirm if CIA mimic rheumatoid cachexia by showing similar changes observed in patients, as can be listed: decreased muscle mass (muscle atrophy), decreased muscle strength, increased fatigue, and abnormal serological biochemistry [increased inflammatory markers (TNFα, IL-6), anaemia (Hb < 12 g·dL−1), low serum albumin (< 3.2 g·dL−1)]. Thus, the aim of this study was to assess the clinical characteristics of CIA to evaluate whether this animal model develops the clinical and functional features of rheumatoid cachexia.

Material and methods

Animals: Male DBA/1J mice between 8 and 12 weeks of age were randomly divided into two experimental groups: healthy animals (CO, n = 11) and CIA animals (CIA, n = 13). The mice were reared alone at 20°C, with a 12-h light–dark cycle, food and water were provided ad libitum. Animals were followed up for 65 days, and all measurements were performed prior to the arthritis induction and 18, 25, 35, 45, 55, and 65 days thereafter. All experiments were performed following to the Guiding Principles for Research Involving Animals. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre (protocol no. 1018). Arthritis was induced with bovine type II collagen (CII; Chondrex, Inc., Redmond, WA, USA; 2 mg·mL−1) dissolved in 0.1-M acetic acid at 4°C for 12 h and in Complete Freund’s Adjuvant (CFA; Sigma, St Louis, USA; 2 mg·mL−1) containing inactivated Mycobacterium tuberculosis.23 Fifty microliters of emulsion (CII + CFA) were injected intradermally at the base of the tail to induce arthritis; this was set as the day zero in this experiment. Eighteen days after the first injection, the animals received a reinforcement of CII emulsified with incomplete Freund’s adjuvant (without M. tuberculosis) in another site of the tail (booster injection).22 During the procedures, mice were anaesthetized with isoflurane 10% (Abbott Laboratórios do Brasil Ltda., Brazil) and 90% of oxygen. Healthy controls were manipulated and anaesthetized; however, no injection was made. Animals were euthanized 65 days after the first injection. For the following analysis, a group of researchers was blinded for disease induction. The blindness was ensured until animals developed signs of the disease. In addition, all histological and molecular analyses were performed by blinded researchers.

Clinical severity score and measurement of edema: Arthritis severity was clinically determined for each paw, three times a week, according to the a scale of 0 to 4 (0, no evidence of erythema and swelling; 1, erythema and mild swelling confined to the tarsals or metatarsals; 2, erythema and moderate swelling of tarsal and the metatarsal or tarsal and ankle joints; 3, erythema and severe swelling extending from the ankle to metatarsal joints; and 4, erythema and severe
swelling encompassing the ankle, foot and digits, or ankylosis of the limb). The highest sum score that a mouse could reach was 16. Hind paw edema volume was measured using a plethysmometer (Insight Ltda., Ribeirão Preto, Brazil). Briefly, it is a small cylinder filled with a buffer connected to a device capable to measure the total fluid volume, we had immersed the hind paw of the animal inside the cylinder and the total volume added is then measured, the difference between the final volume minus the initial volume results to paw total volume.

**Animal weight and food intake:** Animals were weighed for total body mass three times a week starting before the first injection. Animal food was weighed three times a week starting before the first injection. The remaining food was subtracted from the offered food to follow up the food intake of each animal. Mean food intake was calculated through the sum of the total feed period between each interval (0, 18, 25, 35, 45, 55, and 65 days after the first injection) and divided by the total sampling time of each period of time.

**Free exploratory locomotion:** Animals were put in an acrylic cage with motion sensors (Monitor de Atividades IR; Insight Equipamentos Ltda., Ribeirão Preto, Brazil). After 30 s of adaptation, all free exploratory locomotion was recorded for 5 min. The total distance covered by each animal was sent to a computer for posterior analysis.

**Grip strength:** Animals were tested for maximum grip strength with a test adapted from Deacon. Briefly, first, we used meshes with proper loads, each one amouting to 5, 20, 35, 50, 65, 80, and 95 g. Each mouse was held by the first third of the tail and suspended until it grasped the lighter weight with all paws. The animal had to hold the load for at least 3 recorded seconds. If the animal succeeded, it rested for 30 s before trying the next weight. If the animal failed three times with a 10-srest between each attempt, the longest time it was able to hold the weight was recorded. The following equation was used: $F_{\text{max}} = P_{3\text{seg}} + (5 \times t_{<3\text{seg}})$, where $F_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum calculated grip strength, $P_{3\text{seg}}$ is the heaviest load the animal held for 3 s, and $t_{<3\text{seg}}$ is the longest time the animal held the heaviest load. The final result was expressed in grams (g).

**Endurance exercise performance:** The endurance exercise performance test was conducted at the beginning of the dark cycle of the mice, when animals are active. The endurance exercise performance test consists in inducing mice into exhaustion. The mice were separately set to run on treadmill developed by Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre engineers. We have followed previous protocol. Briefly, animals were left on the treadmill for 5 min to adapt prior the test. After that, the test started at a speed of 8.5 m min$^{-1}$ for 9 min, for the animals to warm up, then every 3 min, the speed was increased in a constant ratio of 2.5 m min$^{-1}$. Animals reach exhaustion when they are not able to continue running up to maintain the speed (in this case, when the mice stayed for 10 s at the first third of the rear part of the equipment). The exhausted animals were put back into their cages, and the following measurements were recorded: speed at fatigue, total experiment time, and total covered distance. Only the total experiment time was used in this research.

**Organs and tissue dissection:** Sixty-five days after the first injection, the tibialis anterior and gastrocnemius muscles were dissected immediately after euthanasia, weighed and collected to measure muscle myofiber diameter by histological analysis with haematoxylin–eosis (HE) staining. The tibial-tarsal joint was collected to confirm the development of arthritis by histological analysis with HE staining. The following organs were dissected and weighed: heart, liver, spleen, quadriiceps, and soleus muscle. For visceral and brown fat dissection, we followed Mann et al. All dissected organs and tissues not used for histological analyses were weighed in precision balance and frozen under –8°C.

**Histological analysis:** The tibia-tarsal joint, tibialis anterior, and gastrocnemius muscle of the DBA/1J animals were dissected and immersed in 10% buffered formalin for fixation for up to 3 days. Next, the tibia-tarsal joints were decalcified in 10% nitric acid for 24 h. All these tissues were dehydrated and embedded in paraffin blocks. Slices 6-μm thick were arranged on microscope slides. Tibialis anterior and gastrocnemius muscle slices stained with HE were used for myofiber diameter measurement. One transverse section of each muscle was stained with HE and analysed under an optic microscope (x400). Two straight lines crossing at a right angle at the fibre center were drawn in each myofiber. The mean of these diameters (in micrometres) was used to calculate the transverse section area, based on circle area. For measuring the myofiber diameter of the whole muscle, we took 10 pictures of each section, and 20 fibres were measured from each picture using the Image-Pro Express software (version 5.1.0.12, Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD, USA). We used a histological score system to evaluate individual joints and assess arthritis severity. For synovial inflammation, five high-power magnification fields were scored for the percentage of infiltrating mononuclear cells as follows: 0, absent; 1, mild (1–10%); 2, moderate (11–50%); 3, severe (51–100%); for synovial hyperplasia: 0, absent; 1, mild (5–10 layers); 2, moderate (11–50 layers); 3, severe (>20 layers); for extension of pannus formation based on the reader’s impression: 0, absent; 1, mild; 2, moderate; 3, severe; for synovial fibrosis: 0, absent; 1, mild (1–10%); 2, moderate (11–50%); 3, severe (51–100%); for cartilage erosion, that is, the percentage of the cartilage surface that was eroded: 0, absent; 1, mild (1–10%); 2, moderate (11–50%); 3, severe (51–100%); and for bone erosion: 0, none; 1, minor erosion(s) observed only at high-power magnification fields; 2, moderate erosion(s) observed at low magnification; 3, severe transcortical erosion(s).

**Statistics:** Sample size was based on the previous research of our group with CIA, in which the main outcome was muscle atrophy accessed by myofiber area. For an alpha of 5% and a
power of 90% in TA myofiber area, it was needed an amostral number of seven for each group. Considering a loss of 10% of animals due to death because of the disease induction and chronic inflammation, we used a total n of 11 to CO group and 13 to CIA group. After confirmation of Gaussian distribution by Shapiro–Wilk and Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests, quantitative data were described as mean ± standard error of the mean. Comparison between groups was performed using two-way analysis of variance followed by Bonferroni’s test for variables with two classifications, or independent t-test of Pearson. All statistical tests were performed in Statistical Package for the Social Science software, version 18. Statistical difference were assumed for a P value under 0.05.

Results

Arthritis score, edema, and arthritis histopathology: First, it was necessary to confirm that the collagen-induced animals had indeed developed arthritis. Incidence of arthritis was 100% 25 days after immunization (Figure 1A). As expected, CIA animals had significantly higher arthritis scores and hind paw edema volumes than CO, observed from the 35th day after immunization up until the end of the experiment (P < 0.05; Figure 1A and 1B). The histopathology showed that all control animals showed healthy ankle joints (Figure 1C), while it confirmed the disease in all CIA animals (Figure 1D). Cartilage and bone erosions, synovial hyperplasia, invasive pannus formation, and inflammatory cell infiltrates were observed in the CIA animals’ ankle joint histology (Figure 1C, Supporting Information, Table S1).

Animal weight and food intake: There was no statistical difference in body weight and food intake between the control group and the CIA group during the experimental period (Figure 2A and 2B). Therefore, the CIA group showed no weight loss or decreased appetite. Besides no difference in body weight between groups, the normalized weights (i.e. divided by the body weight of the animal) for visceral and brown fat were reduced in CIA animals (54 and 39%, respectively; Supporting Information, Figure S1A and S1B). Ankle joint normalized weights and spleen normalized weights were increased in the CIA group when compared with controls (18 and 40%, respectively; Supporting Information, Figure S1A and S1B).
Information, Figure S1C and S1E). The heart and liver normalized weights also showed no statistical difference between the groups (Supporting Information, Figure S1D and S1F).

Free exploratory locomotion, grip strength, and endurance exercise performance: We assessed the animals using mobility, strength, and endurance performance tests. Compared with the control group, free exploratory locomotion in the CIA group was statistically decreased (43, 57, 59, and 66% at 35, 45, 55, and 65 days, respectively), as well as endurance exercise performance total time (23, 22, 24, and 21% at 35, 45, 55, and 65 days, respectively). This was observed the 35th days after immunization until the end of the experiment (P < 0.05; Figure 3A and 3B). The CIA group also had a statistically decreased grip strength (27, 55, 63, 60, and 66% at 25, 35, 45, 55, and 65 days, respectively), which was seen from the 25th days after immunization until the end of the study.
period ($P < 0.05$; Figure 3C). We have also normalized grip strength with total animal weight, which confirmed the decreased strength of CIA groups at 35 days after immunization until the end of the experiment ($P < 0.05$; 53, 62, 60, and 64% at 35, 45, 55, and 65 days, respectively; data not shown).

**Muscle weight, sarcoplasmic ratio, and myofiber diameter:** It was also necessary to confirm that animals would present muscle loss even if they did not show weight loss. The dissected tibialis anterior and gastrocnemius muscles weighed less in the CIA than in the control group (25 and 24%, respectively; $P < 0.05$; Figure 4). Sarcoplasmic ratios were also smaller in CIA, confirming that these animals’ muscle mass decreased when compared with controls (23 and 22% less sarcoplasmic ratio, respectively; $P < 0.05$; Figure 4). Also, the myofiber diameter reduction was 45% in TA and 41% in GA (Figure 5), indicating increased atrophy in CIA animals. Quadriceps and soleus muscle weights showed no statistical difference between groups (Supporting Information, Figure S1G and S1H).

**Discussion**

The combined effect of the systemic inflammation with alterations on energy metabolism and protein metabolism in RA patients causes changes in body composition and increased resting energy expenditure found in cachexia; nevertheless, the diagnostic criteria for RA cachexia are not totally clear in the literature. In this study, CIA animals developed cachexia with features similar to RA patients. Based on a previous study of our group, our hypothesis was that, during this period, the animals should develop strong muscle loss similar to RA patients with cachexia syndrome. As far as we are concerned, this is the first study to propose CIA animal model as an animal model of rheumatoid cachexia. Here, we demonstrate that mice with CIA present similar clinical aspects to RA patients, such as decreased muscle weight, increased fatigue, and weakness, and it is congruent with several aspects from the defining findings of cachexia.

Evans et al. proposed, as a main outcome to cachexia syndrome, weight loss of at least 5% in 12 months in the presence of underlying illness. This outcome is not observed in RA patients. Several studies have demonstrated that the increased TNF and IL-6 levels observed in RA patients, in conjunction with certain pharmacological treatments (e.g., corticoid use), play important roles in body weight. These individuals present increased or unchanged body weight, contrary to what is observed in cancer cachexia. Concerning the mentioned information, here we have not studied any pharmacological treatment in the CIA model and still the animals had not lost weight. We observed that the CIA group had specific decrease in muscle weight (tibialis anterior and gastrocnemius) and in fat mass (brown and visceral fat). In a study related to high-fat diet in CIA, the authors have shown increased lipolysis related to CIA development, higher IL-17 production, and no difference in animal weight compared with CIA fed with normal diet. Furthermore, in adjuvant-induced arthritis animal model, several authors have found decreased fat mass compared with healthy controls. Martin et al. suggests that the mechanism is due to a decrease in white adipose mass secondary to a reduced adipose lipogenesis. Lopez-Menduina et al. have found decreased body weight gain in adjuvant-induced arthritis rats, suggesting an inhibitory effect of inflammation on body weight, in which diseased animals has lower relative fat mass compared with pair-fed healthy rats. Despite that lipid metabolism is relevant in RA, the response to treatment is also due to adipose tissue role as a potent source of cytokines and adipokines including TNF. Furthermore, a recently published study with Antigen-Induced Arthritis (AIA) in rabbits has shown increased in C-reactive protein and GA IL-1beta also corroborates to the inhibitory effect of inflammation on animal fat lipogenesis as well as muscle loss. Furthermore, there are few RA patients that develop severe arthritis that are the most similar to our animal model arthritis development. Those few patients with worse arthritis

**Figure 4** Tibialis anterior (TA) and gastrocnemius (GA) muscle weights and sarcoplasmic ratio (muscle weight in mg divided by animal body weight in grams at 65th day after immunization) of mice in the control group (CO; square and inverted triangle, respectively) and in the collagen-induced arthritis group (CIA; circle and triangle, respectively) during the experimental period. Mice with CIA presented decreased muscle weight and sarcoplasmic ratio in both muscles. Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical analysis between groups was performed using independent t-test of Pearson. *$P < 0.05$.
development have worse prognosis and also have similar phenotype to the classical cachexia. It would shed light on this fat storage incongruence a research with CIA animal model treated with corticoids and followed up until some develop cachexia to check if the visceral and brown fat mass are also increased in CIA as it is observed in most RA patients.

There are many animal models in which muscle loss is observed as a result of the disease development. There are numerous cancer cachexia animal model as cancer itself, for the other chronic diseases, the literature shows animal model of sepsis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic renal failure, and chronic heart failure. However, these animal models are inadequate to be used as a rheumatoid cachexia animal model. Previous reports evaluating muscle alterations in arthritic rodent model showed that adjuvant-induced arthritis induced weight loss and so could be used as a model for cachexia. The adjuvant-induced arthritis model presented 6% of weight loss and a strong correlation with serum TNF-α levels. In the present study, there was no statistical difference in body weight, meaning that animals gained weight normally as control group. In addition, there was no statistical difference in food intake between groups during the experimental period, demonstrating that the CIA model does not induce anorexia in mice. Therefore, CIA animals had no weight loss or decreased appetite, which corresponds with the observations in RA patients and rheumatoid cachexia.

Regarding physical capacity in RA, patients report a vicious cycle characterized by fatigue, reduced physical activity, loss of aerobic capacity, and increasing physical deterioration. In animal models, we observed a decrease in the free exploratory locomotion, probably living the same vicious cycle previously described, and which could be related to the diminished will to move observed in RA patients. Decreased free exploratory locomotion in addition to decreased total time during the endurance exercise performance would be interpreted as increased fatigue condition in the propositions by Evans et al. Hartog et al. demonstrated a decrease in free locomotion and an inverse correlation between locomotion and muscle weight in CIA. Filippin et al. demonstrated that a progressive reduction in free locomotion was related to the development of arthritis, with a strong correlation between muscle loss and the severity of arthritis.

Rheumatoid arthritis patients present a reduction in muscle strength when compared with healthy individuals. In an ongoing study by our group, the quadriceps muscle strength in RA patients has a statistical significant decrease if compared with healthy controls (unpublished data).
Corroborating these findings, the CIA mouse model has shown reduced muscle strength. This demonstrates that the CIA animal model shows alterations in muscle strength similar to those observed in humans. In addition, a previous study by our group showed that the physical capacity related to fatigue in endurance exercise performance testing was worse in the CIA group when compared with control groups. In the current study, the CIA group had a statistically decreased total time during the endurance exercise performance from the 35th day after immunization until the end of the experiment.

Increased muscle protein catabolism leads to loss of skeletal muscle mass and consequently to loss of muscle strength and power. We have previously demonstrated that in the CIA rat model, muscle wasting is different from disuse-induced muscle atrophy. Our previous research demonstrated that these animals present up to a 30% decrease in myofiber diameter after 45 days of disease induction. In addition, a recently published study with autoimmune monoathritis by AIA has shown several molecular analyses for muscle wasting observed in rabbits, including increased GA atrogin-1 and MURF-1 expression. The present study confirms that animals had muscle loss even if they did not lose weight. It was observed by the less weight in tibialis anterior and gastrocnemius muscles in the CIA group (decrease of 25 and 24%, respectively). In addition, sarcoplasmic ratios (reduction of 23% in the TA and 22% in the GA) and myofiber diameter (reduction of 45% in the TA and 41% in the GA) were also reduced in CIA, confirming that there is less muscle mass in these animals than in controls. This points to an increased atrophy in CIA animals and corroborates the findings of muscle weakness and lower levels of physical activity.

We assessed the functional impact of muscle wasting, demonstrating decrease of up to 66% in free exploratory locomotion, 66% in grip strength, and 24% in the total time during endurance exercise performance from days 35 to 65 after immunization. This fulfills some of the clinical criteria for cachexia proposed by Evans et al., with the exception of clear weight loss and anorexia. However, it is relevant to note that muscle mass wasting without weight loss is also observed in RA patients. These observations suggest that these animals are reproducing similar mechanisms of muscle wasting as RA patients and thus may be considered as a useful animal model to study this syndrome. This study has the following weakness: blindness could be ensured up to disease development because of clear disease development (e.g. edema); however, blindness was kept in all experiments after euthanasia.

This study was the first to assess whether the CIA animal model also develops the clinical and functional features of rheumatoid cachexia. The main limitation was that it did not assess biochemistry parameters. In our study, we observed 100% of incidence of arthritis and development of several muscle features related to cachexia, including reduced locomotion and strength, increased fatigue, lighter muscle weight, no anorexia, and no weight loss. Those muscle-related observations are also compatible to diagnose criteria of cachexia suggested by Evans et al.; however, there is incompatibility of clear weight loss as their first criteria factor, this main feature is also not observed in RA patients with muscle wasting. In conclusion, the CIA animal model with DBA/1J male mice seems to be a suitable animal model for rheumatoid cachexia, as it allows for the control of confounding factors such as genetic background, food intake, and environmental influences. Our results are relevant to future studies because they help understand the biological and molecular mechanisms of rheumatoid cachexia. It is important that future studies follow the protocol established in our research when performing several different types of treatments.

**Perspectives**

A molecular analysis of rheumatoid cachexia development in a CIA animal model is still needed. Also, it would be interesting to research for molecules that could be used to facilitate diagnosis of the disease as well as follow up its progress. In addition, it would be interesting to research the effect of CIA between type I from type II myofiber in muscle during disease progress.
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Supplementary Figure S1. Normalized weight of tissues (tissue weight in mg divided by animal weight in g) from mice in the control group (CO) and the collagen-induced arthritis group (CIA) at 65 th day after the disease induction. Mice with CIA presented reduced normalized visceral fat and brown fat weights and increased normalized ankle joint and spleen weights. Normalized liver, heart and quadriceps weights remained similar between groups. (A) Visceral fat; (B) Brow fat; (C) Ankle joint; (D) Liver; (E) Spleen; (F) Heart; (G) Quadriceps muscle; and (H) Soleus muscle. Data is presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical analysis between groups was performed using independent t-test of Pearson. *p < 0.05. Supplementary Table S1: Histopathology parameters of ankle joints from mice in the control group (CO) and in the collagen-induced arthritis group (CIA). Cartilage and bone erosion, synovial hyperplasia, invasive pannus formation, and inflammatory cells infiltrates were measured. Mice with CIA presented increased alterations in all parameters. Statistical analysis between groups was performed using chi-square analysis and results are shown in median (inter-quartile range).
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