Cellular and molecular mechanisms of sarcopenia: the S100B perspective
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Abstract

Primary sarcopenia is a condition of reduced skeletal muscle mass and strength, reduced agility, and increased fatigability and risk of bone fractures characteristic of aged, otherwise healthy people. The pathogenesis of primary sarcopenia is not completely understood. Herein, we review the essentials of the cellular and molecular mechanisms of skeletal mass maintenance; the alterations of myofiber metabolism and deranged properties of muscle satellite cells (the adult stem cells of skeletal muscles) that underpin the pathophysiology of primary sarcopenia; the role of the Ca2+-sensor protein, S100B, as an intracellular factor and an extracellular signal regulating cell functions; and the functional role of S100B in muscle tissue. Lastly, building on recent results pointing to S100B as to a molecular determinant of myoblast–brown adipocyte transition, we propose S100B as a transducer of the deleterious effects of accumulation of reactive oxygen species in myoblasts and, potentially, myofibers concurring to the pathophysiology of sarcopenia.
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Introduction

Sarcopenia is defined as a condition of reduced skeletal muscle mass and strength, reduced agility, and increased fatigability and risk of bone fractures1–4 characteristic of aged (≥75-year-old) people and represents a major health problem and an important burden for health systems because of the growing lifespan in advanced countries. Sarcopenic muscles show reduced numbers of myofibers and hypotrophic myofibers (mostly Type II myofibers) and infiltration with adipose and, at later stages, fibrotic tissue, and decreased numbers of satellite cells (SCs),5 the adult stem cells of skeletal muscles located between the sarcolemma and the basal lamina and essential for the maintenance of muscle mass.6 Normally quiescent, in case of strain and/or acute muscle injury, SCs become activated, proliferate to expand the population of their progeny (myoblasts), migrate to the sites of damage, and differentiate into myocytes capable of fusing with each other to form new myofibers or with damaged myofiber to repair them (Figure 1). During the initial proliferation phase, a minority of myoblasts return to a state of quiescence to replenish the pool of myoblast-associated SCs. This complex of events, known as adult myogenesis, recapitulates embryonic myogenesis and is intended to maintain the skeletal muscle mass constant. It is orchestrated by the sequential activation of essential transcription factors such as Myf5, PAX7, MyoD, and myogenin, which are required for (i) the commitment of SCs to proliferating myoblasts (Myf5, PAX7), (ii) myoblast proliferation and the return of a minority of proliferating myoblasts to the state of quiescent SCs (PAX7), (iii) myoblast proliferation and differentiation (MyoD), and (iv) myoblast differentiation into fusion-competent myocytes (myogenin)7 (Figure 1). A significant extent of cross-regulation occurs among PAX7, MyoD, and myogenin during the myoblast proliferation and early and late myogenic differentiation phases,8 anticipating that factors and/or conditions capable of altering the relative abundance
of these transcription factors may disturb the myogenic process or even concur to rhabdomyosarcomagenesis. Infiltrating immune cells, among which macrophages play an essential role,7–12 vascular pericytes13,14 and fibro/adipogenic precursors (FAPs)15,16 participate in muscle regeneration (Figure 1). The muscle regeneration process is driven by extracellular factors such as serum factors and molecules passively released from damaged muscle tissue—collectively known as damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs)—or secreted by infiltrating immune cells (i.e. hormones, growth factors, and cytokines) and components of the extracellular matrix17–24 (Figure 1) acting via cell surface receptors.

Primary sarcopenia: a matter of altered myofiber metabolism and deranged satellite cell properties

Sarcopenia may be either secondary to chronic inflammatory statuses, diabetes, hormonal alterations, vascular disturbances, renal, respiratory and/or cardiac failure, and immobilization3 or primary, occurring in otherwise healthy, usually aged persons.1,2 The pathogenesis of primary sarcopenia is not completely understood. Alterations of myofiber metabolism and deranged SC properties appear to underpin the pathophysiology of primary sarcopenia.

Altered myofiber metabolism

From the side of myofibers, disturbed protein turnover consequent to impairment of protein degradation and intracellular organelle disposal pathways [e.g. the insulin-like growth factor 1/protein kinase B (Akt)/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)/forkhead box O (FoxO), and macroautophagy pathways] leading to a failure of protein and organelle quality control25,26 might contribute to muscle atrophy (Figure 2). Conversely, physical exercise coupled to caloric intake restriction increases mitochondrial calcium uniporter expression levels and affects mitochondria dynamics with improved ultrastructural morphology and performance of aging human

---

Figure 1 Schematic representation of cellular and molecular mechanisms driving adult myogenesis. Following acute (reversible) skeletal muscle injury serum factors (i.e. hormones and growth factors) and molecules passively released from damaged muscle tissue (e.g. DAMPs, among which S100B and HMGB1) or secreted by infiltrating proinflammatory (M1) and anti-inflammatory/ reparative (M2) macrophages (e.g. cytokines, S100B, and HMGB1), and components of the extracellular matrix participate in the activation of quiescent muscle SCs and their commitment to myoblasts, proliferation and/or differentiation into fusion-competent myocytes, which form new myofibers and/or repair the damaged ones. It is not known whether S100B and/or HMGB1 can activate quiescent SCs. A minority of proliferating myoblasts return to a state of quiescence to replenish the SC reserve pool. Fibroblasts concur to the repair process by depositing extracellular matrix, but excess extracellular matrix deposition interferes with the regeneration process. Pericytes and IL-4-activated FAPs also participate in the regeneration process. DAMP, damage-associated molecular pattern; FAPs, fibro/adipogenic precursors; IL-4, interleukin-4; SC, satellite cell.
muscles\textsuperscript{27} likely mediated by preserved expression levels of mitochondrial fusion protein\textsuperscript{28} optic atrophy 1 (Figure 2). Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-\(\gamma\) coactivator-1\(\alpha\) (PGC1\(\alpha\)), enriched in tissues with high oxidative capacity, is a key driver of metabolic programming in skeletal muscle in health and disease regulating endurance, fibre-type switching, and insulin sensitivity.\textsuperscript{29} PGC1\(\alpha\) is induced by oxidative stress, is a powerful regulator of reactive oxygen species (ROS) removal by increasing the expression of numerous ROS-detoxifying enzymes and factors such as NF-E2-related factor 2 (Nrf2),\textsuperscript{30} and is directly regulated by the transcription factor Tfe3 in muscles\textsuperscript{31} (Figure 2). By inducing a moderate level of oxidative stress, physical exercise up-regulates PGC1\(\alpha\) essential for mitochondrial biogenesis promoting oxidative fibre formation at the expense of glycolytic fibre formation,\textsuperscript{32} improving exercise performance,\textsuperscript{33} increasing muscle mass and strength and resistance to muscle wasting,\textsuperscript{34} and augmenting early steps in the activation and proliferation of adult muscle stem cells.\textsuperscript{35} Thus, altered PGC1\(\alpha\) expression level/activity in muscle tissue likely consequent to age-related enhanced ROS production in a background of altered mitochondria number and properties\textsuperscript{36,37} might concur to sarcopenia.
FoxO transcription factors are key effectors in muscle atrophy. They are activated in multiple models of muscle atrophy and are both sufficient and required for muscle atrophy (Figure 2). FoxOs co-ordinate a variety of stress-response genes, including autophagy and ROS detoxification, during catabolic conditions. However, sarcopenia was suggested to be not due to FoxO activation or up-regulation of the proteolytic systems as interventions aimed at promoting muscle hypertrophy via Akt overexpression or preventing muscle loss through inactivation of the ubiquitin ligase atrogin-1 were found to paradoxically cause muscle pathology. Yet a ROS–FoxO cross-talk occurs in cells whereby ROS and FoxO reciprocally regulate their levels, and old sedentary rat muscles show elevated levels of the atrophy genes (atrogenes), atrogin-1 and MuRF-1.

Defective activity or abundance of Nrf2, an antioxidant factor playing a fundamental role in the maintenance of intracellular redox homeostasis, characterizes the aging muscle tissue (reviewed by Bellezza et al.), an event counteracted by a regular exercise that attenuates the age-related changes in Nrf2-mediated pathways and potentially restores the redox homeostasis (Figure 2). Supporting this possibility is the observation that the Nrf2 activator, sulforaphane, enhances running capacity in rats by up-regulation of Nrf2 signalling and downstream genes and attenuates muscle fatigue via reduction of oxidative stress caused by exhaustive exercise. Also, there is evidence that neurotrophin 3 directly influences the protein synthesis and metabolic remodelling in neurogenic muscle via activation of a TrkC/Akt/mTORC1 pathway in myofibers but not myoblasts, and that nitrogen permease regulator like-2, a proposed tumour suppressor gene, activates mTORC1 causing muscle hypertrophy with increased numbers of fast-twitch, Type II glycolytic muscle fibres (Figure 2). However, constitutive activation of mTORC1 can affect global metabolic changes and elicit late-onset myopathies.

Elevated levels of myogenin, an essential transcription factor in the regulation of myoblast differentiation, were found to induce muscle wasting in different conditions including denervation, spinal muscular atrophy, starvation, and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α)-induced atrophy. In these atrophying conditions, myogenin was up-regulated and was required for the maximal activation of atrogin-1 and MuRF-1 by binding their promoters. Recent work in humans has confirmed the elevated levels of myogenin in healthy elderly sarcopenic subjects along with a less vigorous response in terms of Nrf2 levels and, importantly, with higher levels of HSPA1A, a stress-induced chaperone acting upon misfolded proteins. These results suggest that reduced cellular defense factors, increased level of misfolded proteins, and disturbed mitochondria function/dynamics in healthy elderly sarcopenic muscle may contribute to intracellular accumulation of ROS, which may negatively impact muscle trophism and function. Collectively, these observations support the conclusion that metabolic events occurring within myofibers condition muscle histology and performance.

Importantly, skeletal myofibers can produce a plethora of secreted factors collectively called myokines, with such secretory capacity increasing during muscle contractions, myogenesis, and muscle remodelling, or after exercise training. Myokines exert autocrine, paracrine, or endocrine effects, thereby not only regulating energy demand but also contributing to the broad beneficial effects of exercise on cardiovascular, metabolic, and mental health. Among the various myokines, interleukin (IL)-15 stimulates myofiber hypertrophy, IL-8 stimulates angiogenesis, IL-6 stimulates glucose uptake and fatty acid oxidation, and decorin binds to and blocks myostatin, another myokine, thus preventing myostatin’s inhibitory effects on muscle trophism. Overall, physical exercise reduces myostatin expression/secretion (Figure 2). Also, physical exercise recruits neutrophils to the working muscles and activates muscle resident neutrophils, which secrete IL-1β that in turn positively supports muscle performance by priming exercise-dependent glucose transporter 4 translocation. In addition, voluntary exercise was shown to elicit an acute oxidation-induced increase in Ca2+ sensitivity in Type II fibres, and specific force production was found to be 10–25% lower in muscle fibres of sedentary compared with active rats with accompanying decrease in excitability of skinned fibres. Thus, physical exercise appears to be the most efficient means to contrast sarcopenia.

**Deranged satellite cell properties**

From the side of SCs, extrinsic, niche-related factors and intrinsic, cell-autonomous factors concur to determine changes in SCs with aging ultimately leading to reduced SCs’ ability to maintain muscle mass, proliferation aged myoblasts accumulate ROS; possibly, ROS overproduction, owing to altered mitochondrial function and/or defective ROS management, might be one cause of sarcopenia. ROS imbalance might determine the aberrant p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) activity, deregulated expression of the cell proliferation inhibitor, p16INK4a, deregulated janus kinase/signal transducer and activator of transcription (JAK-STAT) signalling, and defective autophagy detected in aged SCs and suggested to be responsible for their altered proliferation and differentiation properties. If so, the question is: What determines the accumulation of ROS in aged myofibers and SCs/myoblasts? A sedentary lifestyle, in turn promoted by the reduced muscle strength and agility and increased fatigability inherent to sarcopenic subjects, coupled to a relatively excessive caloric intake, is likely to be one main cause especially if associated with cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, and low protein and vegetable intake (Figure 3).

Mechanistically, myostatin was shown to increase ROS and TNF-α production in myoblasts, and the elevated TNF-α in turn stimulates myostatin expression with resultant
proteasomal-mediated catabolism of intracellular proteins.\textsuperscript{77} Also, levels of angiopoietin-like 2 (ANGPTL2), a senescence-associated secretory phenotype factor with proinflammatory properties associated with age-related diseases, increase in skeletal muscle of aging mice; however, mice with a skeletal myocyte-specific Angptl2 knockout have attenuated inflammation and ROS accumulation in animal models of muscle atrophy, accompanied by increased SC activity and inhibition of muscular atrophy, than have wild-type mice.\textsuperscript{78}

In addition, cell senescence caused by telomere erosion; DNA damage; mitochondrial, proteostatic, and nutrient signalling dysfunction; and epigenetic factors is a central hallmark of aging: a combination of these conditions can induce senescence manifesting as the cell’s inability to proliferate in response to appropriate stimuli owing to the hyperactivity of two main signalling pathways, p16\textsuperscript{INK4a}/Rb and p53/p21\textsuperscript{CIP1}, which concurs to repress cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 (CDK4/6) activity ultimately leading to stem cell exhaustion\textsuperscript{79} (Figure 3). The initial enthusiasm about the finding that growth differentiation factor 11 (GDF11), a myostatin homologue, might support muscle trophism and regeneration and contrast muscle aging\textsuperscript{80} was dampened by reports showing that, on the contrary, GDF11 levels increases with age and inhibits muscle regeneration, behaving like transforming growth factor-β and sharing with it intracellular signalling pathways,\textsuperscript{81} and is not a rejuvenator of aged skeletal muscle SCs.\textsuperscript{82} Instead, GDF11 is risk factor for age-related frailty and disease in humans.\textsuperscript{83}

However, there is evidence that exhaustion of the SC pool might not concur to sarcopenia while leading to defective regeneration following acute muscle injury and contributing to age-related muscle fibrosis.\textsuperscript{84,85} This would imply that primary sarcopenia might only be a matter of altered myofiber metabolism as discussed earlier. Yet the greater extent of age-related muscle fibrosis, a hallmark of sarcopenia, in a background of genetic ablation of SCs\textsuperscript{86} suggests that altered SC properties might concur to sarcopenia given the accepted role of SCs in regulating the extracellular matrix.\textsuperscript{86} In addition, as pointed out recently,\textsuperscript{87} the daily life of aged mice in which SCs had been genetically ablated is not comparable with that of aged humans in terms of physical activity and occasional muscle injury in the course of bouts of intense physical strain, which calls for caution in the interpretation of results obtained with animal models of sarcopenia as
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\caption{Extrinsic and intrinsic, cell-autonomous factors concurring to determine changes in SCs with aging ultimately leading to reduced SCs’ ability to maintain muscle mass (sarcopenia). Redox imbalance, due to either ROS overproduction or consequent to defective ROS removal, is a common trait of factors/lifestyle leading to cell senescence, reduction of SC self-renewal, and muscle atrophy (refer to text). CDK, cyclin-dependent kinase; FGF21, fibroblast growth factor 21; FOXO, forkhead box O; JAK/SAT, janus kinase/signal transducer and activator of transcription; MAPK, mitogen activated protein kinase; ROS, reactive oxygen species; SC, satellite cell.}
\end{figure}
described by Fry et al.\textsuperscript{84} and Keefe et al.\textsuperscript{85} Besides, building on a number of experimental observations, SCs have been recently proposed to ‘act as a central node for intercellular communication across a spectrum of cell types within and outside of muscle to coordinate adaptation’ including fibroblasts and capillary endothelial cells.\textsuperscript{86} In this perspective, the decrease in the SC number associated with sedentary aging individuals as well as altered SC properties as mentioned earlier might impact muscle mass and performance as seen in sarcopenia. Yet the studies by Fry et al.\textsuperscript{84} and Keefe et al.\textsuperscript{85} provide critical insight into the potential importance (or lack) of SCs in the development/progression of primary sarcopenia.

The S100B perspective

S100B functions as an intracellular regulator and an extracellular signal

S100B belongs to a family of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding proteins of the EF-hand type and is endowed with intracellular and extracellular regulatory functions.\textsuperscript{88} S100B is a Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-sensor protein expressed in a restricted number of vertebrate cell types, where it has been implicated in the regulation of cell proliferation, migration, and differentiation and apoptosis by affecting enzyme activities, the dynamics of cytoskeleton constituents (e.g. microtubules, and Type III intermediate filaments and microfilaments), protein degradation, p53 activity, K\textsuperscript{+} channels, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} homeostasis, and the activity of toll-like receptors 3 and 9.\textsuperscript{88–90} The high abundance of S100B in the cell types in which it is expressed, its cytoplasmic localization, and its becoming activated on the occasion of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transients (especially at intracellular sites where free Ca\textsuperscript{2+} attains relatively high concentrations such as sub-plasma membrane domains, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} stores and mitochondria) make it possible that S100B might regulate such a variety of intracellular activities. S100B is constitutively expressed in quiescent SCs, proliferating myoblasts, myotubes, and myofibers.\textsuperscript{91–93} S100B was found to be associated with Type III intermediate filaments in myoblasts and myotubes in part\textsuperscript{91} and to exert an inhibitory effect on desmin assembly in vitro.\textsuperscript{94} Functional correlates of S100B association with intermediate filaments and inhibitory effects on assembly state of desmin filaments are not known, yet. Interestingly, S100B expression is induced in certain cell types upon their activation or neoplastic transformation. Cardiomyocytes do not express S100B in normal physiological conditions, but S100B becomes induced by cardiacinolamines in surviving cardiomyocytes of the so-called penumbra (i.e. the peri-infarct heart tissue) and inhibits cardiomyocyte hypertrophic response.\textsuperscript{95} Also, bronchial epithelial cells and macrophages do not express the protein normally but express it in case of airway infection (bronchial epithelial cells)\textsuperscript{96} or sterile inflammation (macrophages infiltrating muscle tissue upon acute injury).\textsuperscript{22} Further, S100B becomes expressed in activated CD3\textsuperscript{+} T lymphocytes infiltrating pancreatic islets in an animal model of diabetes.\textsuperscript{97} Moreover, S100B becomes expressed in breast tumour epithelial cells under the combined action of homeobox C1 and steroid receptor coactivator, and strong associations between S100B tissue expression and reduced disease-free survival in breast cancer were reported, with elevated serum levels of S100B strongly predicting poor survival.\textsuperscript{98} Lastly, there is evidence that at least a fraction of intracellular S100B in a number of cell types can derive from uptake of extracellular S100B.\textsuperscript{99–101}

Indeed, S100B is secreted constitutively by astrocytes\textsuperscript{88} and following stimulation with catecholamines by white adipocytes,\textsuperscript{102} and by activated macrophages.\textsuperscript{22} Also, S100B is passively released from injured brain\textsuperscript{88,89} and heart\textsuperscript{103} and from acutely and chronically injured muscle tissue,\textsuperscript{104} behaving like a DAMP. Conflicting results have been reported regarding the beneficial or detrimental effects exerted by released S100B in case of acute brain injury (e.g. trauma), whereas at the high levels attained in chronically diseased brain tissue (e.g. Alzheimer’s disease), S100B invariably sustains inflammation with resultant direct or indirect killing of neurons and activation of astrocytes and microglia.\textsuperscript{88,89} In the infarcted heart, S100B, released by surviving cardiomyocytes, might cause cardiomyocyte apoptosis\textsuperscript{103} and might promote vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) secretion and VEGF-dependent myofibroblast proliferation potentially contributing to scar formation.\textsuperscript{105} In acute muscle injury, a transient release of S100B\textsuperscript{104} is required for a rapid and complete regenerative process, whereas the continuous release of the protein by damaged myofibers and infiltrating macrophages as seen in muscular dystrophy fuels inflammation and dampens the reparative process.\textsuperscript{22} Notably, elevated levels of S100B are detected in human serum following intense physical exercise (e.g. marathon, swimming races, and soccer games).\textsuperscript{106} These elevated serum S100B levels have been suggested to result from brain concussion known to cause increases in serum S100B and subtle, clinically not relevant brain injury as well as from exercising muscles and/or adipocytes.\textsuperscript{106–108} Extracellular effects of S100B are largely brought about by its interaction with RAGE (receptor for advanced glycation end products, encoded by AGER/\textit{Ager})\textsuperscript{109,110}, however, at least in the case of muscle injury, S100B can activate either RAGE or the basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF)/FGF receptor 1 (FGFR1) complex depending on its own local concentration, myoblast density, and bFGF availability.\textsuperscript{22,104,111,112} In standard (high-density) myoblast culture conditions, S100B inhibits myoblast differentiation and myotube formation and stimulates myoblast proliferation via bFGF/FGFR1 activation.\textsuperscript{111,113,114} On the other hand, in low-density myoblast cultures and at early phases of muscle regeneration following acute injury (i.e. when the myoblast density is relatively low), S100B activates RAGE to stimulate
myoblast proliferation, thereby promoting the expansion of the myoblast population and preventing premature myoblast differentiation, attracting macrophages to damage sites, and promoting the transition of infiltrating macrophages from M1 (proinflammatory) to M2 (anti-inflammatory and reparative) phenotype.22,104 Incidentally, promyogenic effects of RAGE in myoblast cultures and following acute (reversible) injury have been reported; RAGE signalling by HMGB1 promotes myoblast differentiation and myotube formation,115 and absence of RAGE delays muscle regeneration via altered dynamics of activated SCs, delays macrophage infiltration of damage sites, and prolongs the M1 macrophage inflammatory phase.20 Yet as observed with cultured astrocytes and neurons,88,89 at high local concentrations, S100B directly regulates muscle damage by fueling in during the acute phase of muscular dystrophy.22 In this latter study, the myoblasts were induced to differentiate and myoblasts from sarcopenic subjects show properties of proliferating myoblasts, with its levels conditionally required for myoblasts to undergo apoptosis and to timely differentiate or return to a quiescence state, with excess S100B levels interfering with these outcomes. Taken together, these results suggest that intracellular S100B might contribute to certain stemness properties of proliferating myoblasts, with its levels conditioning cell fate.

S100B also affects myoblast survival, proliferation, and differentiation by acting from inside. Reducing S100B expression levels promotes, whereas increasing its abundance completely blocks, myoblast differentiation and fusion via activation of the IKKβ/NF-κB axis to inhibit the expression of MyoD and the MyoD-downstream effectors, myogenin and p21WAF1, and to up-regulate Yin Yang 1 (YY1) (Figure 4), a transcriptional repressor induced by NF-κB with anti-myogenic activity.119 Interestingly, myoblasts down-regulate S100B expression once transferred from proliferation medium to differentiation medium via a p38 MAPK-driven transcriptional mechanism as well as a post-translational, proteasome-dependent mechanism, and myoblasts that have not been committed to differentiation resume expressing S100B once transferred back to proliferation medium.118 Also, down-regulation of S100B in myoblasts during the first few hours of culture in differentiation medium is permissive for differentiation as persistence of relatively high S100B levels results in the inhibition of myogenic differentiation.120 Down-regulation of S100B expression at the beginning of cell differentiation in normal tissues such as myoblasts being observed also in neuronal precursor cells, oligodendrocytes, astrocytes, and chordrocytes.121–126 Yet differentiated myoblasts (myocytes) resume expressing S100B under the action of myogenin,120 and S100B is found expressed in mature myofibers as mentioned earlier. Notably, myoblasts down-regulate S100B expression once transferred from proliferation medium to quiescence medium, and interference with S100B down-regulation results in reduced acquisition of quiescence and a faster proliferation upon transfer of the cells from quiescence medium to proliferation medium in a c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK)-regulated manner.120 Also, elevated S100B levels make myoblasts resistant to apoptosis in a MEK-ERK1/2-dependent, Akt-dependent, JNK-dependent, and NF-κB-dependent manner,120 thus interfering with the process of elimination of those myoblasts which neither differentiate nor return to a quiescent state (Figure 4). Given the enhanced activity of the p53/p21CIP1 in senescent cells, which concurs to stem cell exhaustion79 and since S100B inhibits p53 phosphorylation and tetramerization, i.e. its activation,127–130 and reduces p53 levels,131,132 it is tempting to speculate that high S100B levels might interfere with myoblast senescence by decreasing p53/p21CIP1 activity (Figure 4). This potential S100B activity, however, might be mitigated or even abolished by the reported ability of S100B to block the ubiquitin E3 ligases, MDM2 and MDM4,133 that drive proteasomal degradation of p53.134 Thus, appropriate levels of S100B in myoblasts appear to be required for myoblasts to undergo apoptosis and to timely differentiate or return to a quiescence state, with excess S100B levels interfering with these outcomes.
of senescent cells being proliferation and differentiation defective.\textsuperscript{69,135,136} It is presently unknown whether the elevated levels of S100B found in senescent myoblasts\textsuperscript{135} are a consequence or a cause of senescence. Yet the finding that down-regulation of S100B partly restored their proliferation and differentiation capability\textsuperscript{135} suggests that at elevated levels, S100B contributes to myoblastsenescence. While S100B stimulates NF-κB activity,\textsuperscript{93} NF-κB stimulates S100B expression in myoblasts\textsuperscript{137} (Figure 4). Given the reported NF-κB/Nrf2 interplay whereby excess NF-κB activity leads to reduced Nrf2 activity,\textsuperscript{43} it is possible that up-regulated S100B in myoblasts from sarcopenic subjects reduces Nrf2 levels and/or activity through its stimulatory effect on NF-κB, thereby fostering oxidative stress. Interestingly, myoblasts derived from SCs of young and sarcopenic humans release relatively high and very low amounts of S100B, respectively; however, administered S100B restores the proliferation and differentiation capability of sarcopenic myoblasts\textsuperscript{135}. Based on previous results,\textsuperscript{104,111,112} the receptor transducing these S100B effects likely is FGFR1 because myoblasts from sarcopenic subjects express a truncated, functionally inactive form of RAGE.\textsuperscript{135} While the reason(s) why myoblasts from sarcopenic subjects release very low S100B amounts remains elusive, one might hypothesize that the high ROS levels of these myoblasts might alter the mechanism of S100B secretion and/or oxidize S100B, which can no longer be exported.
and thus accumulates within cells (Figure 4). Whatever the cause of elevated S100B levels in aged SCs/myoblasts, that is high ROS/NF-κB-induced S100B expression and/or reduced S100B release (also Morozzi et al.\textsuperscript{137}), intracellular S100B might be regarded as intrinsic, cell-autonomous factor concerning to determine changes in SCs ultimately leading to reduced SCs’ ability to maintain muscle mass.

**Chronic oxidative conditions lead to S100B accumulation in myoblasts, promoting myoblast–brown adipocyte transition**

SCs are multipotential stem cells that exhibit myogenic, osteogenic, and adipogenic differentiation,\textsuperscript{138} and myoblasts and adipocytes share a common Myf5\textsuperscript{+} progenitor cell that can generate myoblasts and brown adipocytes.\textsuperscript{139} Besides inhibiting myoblast differentiation and participating in the process of myoblast aging, elevated S100B levels also promote myoblast–brown adipocyte transition, and myoblasts from sarcopenic subjects show properties of brown (pre)adipocytes.\textsuperscript{41,57,74,75} As mentioned earlier, SCs and their progeny (i.e. myoblasts) accumulate ROS owing to redox imbalance,\textsuperscript{41,57,74,75} a condition predisposing per se to adipogenic differentiation given that ROS promote adipogenesis and antioxidants or ROS scavengers suppress ROS effects on adipogenesis.\textsuperscript{140} Chronically high ROS levels induce the phosphatase MKP-1, which inactivates the promyogenic p38 MAPK.\textsuperscript{141} Indeed, ROS overproduction in myoblasts causes accumulation of S100B partly owing to MKP-1-dependent inactivation of p38 MAPK and stimulates NF-κB activity, which cause up-regulation of S100B\textsuperscript{137} (Figure 4). In turn, S100B stimulates NF-κB activity with resultant up-regulation of YY1 and YY1-dependent inhibition of miR-133, a promyogenic and anti-adipogenic microRNA,\textsuperscript{142–144} ultimately leading to transition of myoblasts to brown adipocytes\textsuperscript{137} (Figure 4). Different from myoblasts and white adipocytes, brown adipocytes express uncoupling protein 1 (UCP-1) (UCP-1), which targets mitochondria where it uncouples oxidative phosphorylation to produce heat.\textsuperscript{145} ROS/S100B-stimulated NF-κB also up-regulates, in a YY1-dependent manner, bone morphogenetic protein 7 (BMP7),\textsuperscript{137} which, once secreted, causes myoblast progenitors\textsuperscript{146,147} and cultured myoblasts\textsuperscript{137} to differentiate into brown adipocytes by repressing the expression of the adipogenic inhibitors, neddin and preadipocyte factor 1, and inducing the key molecular determinant, PRD1-BF1-RIZ1 homologous domain-containing 16 (PRDM16), and UCP-1 (Figure 4). Thus, oxidative stress-induced accumulation of S100B converts myoblasts into brown adipocytes via an NF-κB/YY1/miR-133 axis and NF-κB/YY1/BMP7 axis. Supporting such a role for S100B is the observation that (i) myoblasts from sarcopenic humans show high levels of S100B, YY1, and BMP7 and features of brown (pre)adipocytes, (ii) either antioxidants or NF-κB inhibitors dampen ROS-induced up-regulation of S100B and effects consequent to S100B accumulation in myoblasts, (iii) antagonizing extracellular BMP7 blunts ROS-induced up-regulation of S100B, and (iv) C2C12 and primary myoblasts cultured in adipocyte differentiation medium up-regulate S100B and the brown adipocyte markers, PRDM16, BMP7, and UCP-1, in an NF-κB-mediated manner, and silencing of S100B in myoblast-derived brown adipocytes reconverts them to fusion-competent myoblasts\textsuperscript{135} (Figure 4). Thus, in aged sedentary subjects—mimicked by geriatric mice—S100B might increase, likely under the action of elevated ROS,\textsuperscript{41,57,74,75} to such levels as to make myoblasts incapable of efficiently proliferating/differentiating\textsuperscript{133} and participating in the maintenance of muscle mass, and to cause myoblast transition to brown adipocytes.\textsuperscript{135}

**Geriatric muscles show elevated S100B levels and express uncoupling protein 1**

The functional role of S100B in myofibers is not known. Given the S100B’s localization to the sarcoplasmic reticulum and transverse tubules similar to S100A1,\textsuperscript{92,93} a member of the S100 family of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-binding proteins that is 56% identical to S100B,\textsuperscript{139} one may hypothesize that S100B might regulate ryanodine receptor 1 and/or sarco(end)oplasmic reticulum calcium-ATPase activity and/or the phosphorylation state and mechanical properties of the giant sarcromeric protein, titin, as does S100A1.\textsuperscript{148} However, there is no experimental evidence that this might be the case, while there is experimental evidence that S100B does not activate protein kinase A, differently from S100A1.\textsuperscript{149} Also, S100B was shown to participate in the regulation of energy metabolism via stimulation of fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase and phosphoglucomutase \textit{in vitro} (reviewed by Donato\textsuperscript{150}), but information is lacking whether S100B actually affects energy metabolism within myofibers and/or SCs/myoblasts. However, muscles of geriatric mice show highly abundant S100B, expression of UCP-1, and S100B+/UCP-1+ interstitial cells and regenerating myofibers as opposed to muscles of young mice, which express lower S100B levels, are S100B+/UCP-1−, and do not show interstitial cells or regenerating myofibers.\textsuperscript{137} S100B+/UCP-1− interstitial cells unlikely are fusion competent having the stigmata of brown adipocytes. Thus, the co-expression and co-localization of S100B and UCP-1 in mature and regenerating myofibers might hardly result from fusion of S100B+/UCP-1− interstitial cells. If so, it is tempting to speculate that geriatric myofibers up-regulate S100B in a ROS/NF-κB-dependent manner and acquire the ability to express UCP-1 probably under the action of the ROS/NF-κB/S100B axis. Future studies should validate or negate this possibility.

However, the question arises: What is the functional meaning of UCP-1 expression in geriatric myofibers? The punctuate appearance of the S100B/UCP-1 positivity points to colocalization of the two proteins to mitochondria given the
mitochondrial localization of UCP-1.\textsuperscript{143} While a physical and functional association of S100B with mitochondria was excluded in a previous study,\textsuperscript{151} we found that a fraction of S100B co-localizes with the mitochondrial marker, cytochrome c (cytC; green signal). Shown is a merged image. Bar = 100 μm.

Figure 5 S100B is found to be associated with mitochondria in myoblasts. Proliferating L6 myoblasts\textsuperscript{91} show co-localization of S100B (red signal) with the mitochondrial marker, cytochrome c (cytC; green signal). Shown is a merged image. Bar = 100 μm.
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Conclusions

While the pathophysiology of primary sarcopenia has not been completely elucidated, there is consensus that ROS imbalance caused by cell senescence, defective quality control of mitochondria, reduced physical activity, and/or excess caloric intake is one main cause. ROS imbalance in turn might lead to reduced proliferation and differentiation capability of muscle SCs responsible for skeletal muscle mass maintenance, and exhaustion of the SC reserve pool. ROS imbalance also occurs in myofibers, eliciting metabolic events leading to myofibrillar protein breakdown, that is, muscle atrophy. Several extrinsic and intrinsic factors have been shown to concur to cause changes in SCs ultimately leading to altered SC/myoblasts dynamics and capability to proliferate and repair damaged myofibers. Recent studies using mice in which SCs had been genetically ablated have challenged the possibility that alterations in SC properties might play a fundamental role in the pathogenesis of primary sarcopenia—although SCs were required for reducing sarcopenia-associated muscle fibrosis and for muscle regeneration following acute injury in aged mice. Thus, SCs might not have a role in the pathophysiology of sarcopenia in aging sedentary individuals in which muscle atrophy might be due to disturbed myofiber metabolism only, yet SCs might be important players in aging non-sedentary subjects to mitigate sarcopenia progression by virtue of their ability to regenerate exercise-damaged muscles. This issue needs further investigation. S100B is emerging as an extrinsic factor acting on at least macrophages and myoblasts to regulate the timing of muscle regeneration following acute (reversible) muscle injury and during the acute phase of muscular dystrophy as well as an intrinsic factor accumulating in SCs/myoblasts and, likely, myofibers in chronic oxidative conditions under the action of a ROS/NF-κB axis, dampening myogenic differentiation and promoting myoblast–brown adipocyte transition. While the potential role of S100B in protein and organelle quality control remains to be investigated, we propose S100B as a transducer of the deleterious effects of accumulation of ROS in myoblasts and myofibers concurring to the pathophysiology of sarcopenia.
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